

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
1. <i>Amco Asia Corporation and others v. Republic of Indonesia</i> ARB/81/1 (<i>Amco I</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part Decision of May, 16, 1986 1 ICSID Rep. 509 (1993) (English) Unofficial French translation in 114 J.Droit Int'l 175 (1987) (excerpts)
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Y	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	Not addressed	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	Y	
2. <i>Amco Asia Corporation and others v. Republic of Indonesia</i> ARB/81/1 - Resubmission (<i>Amco II</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected (Supplemental Decision and Rectification annulled) Decision of December 17, 1992 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Inequality of treatment	Y	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
	Claimants	Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	

*In a number of annulment proceedings, the Applicant characterized its arguments as falling within more than one of the grounds for annulment envisaged in Article 52 of the ICSID Convention.

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
3. <i>Klöckner Industrie-Anlagen GmbH and others v. United Republic of Cameroon and Société Camerounaise des Engrais</i> ARB/81/2 (<i>Klöckner I</i>)	Claimants	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in full Decision of May 3, 1985 114 J. Droit Int'1 163 (1987) (French; excerpts) Unofficial English translation
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Y	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Lack of deliberation	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	Y	
4. <i>Klöckner Industrie-Anlagen GmbH and others v. United Republic of Cameroon and Société Camerounaise des Engrais</i> ARB/81/2 – Resubmission (<i>Klöckner II</i>)	Respondent	Not specified	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of May 17, 1990 14 ICSID Rep. 101 (2009) (Unofficial English translation) French original Decision not public
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Lack of deliberations	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
	(e)	Contradictory reasons	N			
Claimants	Partial	(e)	Failure to state reasons	N		

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
5. <i>Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i> ARB/84/3 (SPP)	Respondent	Not specified				Discontinued (Rule 43(1))
6. <i>Maritime International Nominees Establishment v. Republic of Guinea</i> ARB/84/4 (MINE)	Respondent	Partial	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Not addressed	Annulled in part Decision of December 22, 1989 English Unofficial French translation in 1 La Juris. du CIRDI 291(2004) (excerpts)
			(d)	Right to be heard	Not addressed	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	Y	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	Y	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	Y	
7. <i>Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. and Vivendi Universal S.A. v.</i>	Claimants	Partial	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	Y	Annulled in part Decision of July 3, 2002
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Argentine Republic</i> ARB/97/3 (<i>Vivendi I</i>)			(e)	Contradictory reasons	Not addressed	English Spanish Unofficial French translation in 130 J. Droit Int'l 195 (2003)
8. <i>Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. and Vivendi Universal S.A. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/97/3-Resubmission (<i>Vivendi II</i>)	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected Decision of August 10, 2010 English Spanish
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
9. <i>Victor Pey Casado and President Allende Foundation v. Republic of Chile</i> ARB/98/2 (<i>Pey Casado I</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part Decision of December 18, 2012 English French
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process/inequality of treatment	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	Y	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
			(d)	Burden of proof/treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	Y	
10. <i>Victor Pey Casado and President Allende Foundation v. Republic of Chile</i> ARB/98/2 - Resubmission (<i>Pey Casado II</i>)	Claimants	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal/lack of impartiality	N	Annulment rejected Decision of January 8, 2020 English French
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Burden of proof/treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Assessment of damages	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
11. <i>Wena Hotels Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i> ARB/98/4 (<i>Wena</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of February 5, 2002 English Unofficial French translation in 130 J. Droit Int'l 167 (2003)
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
12. <i>Philippe Gruslin v. Malaysia</i> ARB/99/3 (<i>Gruslin</i>)	Claimant	Not Specified				Discontinued
13. <i>Patrick Mitchell v. Democratic Republic of the Congo</i> ARB/99/7 (<i>Mitchell</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	Y	Annulled in full Decision of November 1, 2006 English French version in 2 La Jurisprudence du CIRDI 333 (2010)
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	Y	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
14. <i>Consortium R.F.C.C. v. Kingdom of Morocco</i> ARB/00/6 (<i>RFCC</i>)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of January 18, 2006 26 ICSID Rev.— FILJ 196 (2011) (French; excerpts)
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
15. <i>Enron Creditors Recovery Corporation (formerly Enron Corporation) and</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part Decision of July 30, 2010
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Y	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Ponderosa Assets, L.P. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/01/3 (Enron)			(d)	Right to be heard	N	English Spanish
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Breach of party autonomy	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	Y	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
16. <i>MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A. v. Republic of Chile</i> ARB/01/7 (MTD)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of March 21, 2007 English Unofficial French translation in 2 La Juris. CIRDI 385 (2010) (excerpts)
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
17. <i>CMS Gas Transmission Company v. Argentine Republic</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part Decision of September 25, 2007 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	Y	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
ARB/01/8 (CMS)			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	Spanish Unofficial French translation in 2 La Juris. du CIRDI 413 (2010) (excerpts)
18. <i>Repsol YPF Ecuador S.A. v. Empresa Estatal Petróleos del Ecuador (Petroecuador)</i> ARB/01/10 (Repsol)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of January 8, 2007 Spanish English (unofficial translation) Unofficial French translation in 2 La Juris. du CIRDI 375 (2010) (excerpts)
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
19. <i>Azurix Corp. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/01/12 (Azurix)	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected Decision of September 1, 2009 English Spanish
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
20. <i>LG & E Energy Corp., LG & E Capital Corp. and LG & International Inc. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/02/1 (LG&E)	Both	Partial				Discontinued Order of Discontinuance of February 20, 2015 English Spanish
21. <i>Hussein Nuaman Soufraki v. United Arab Emirates</i> ARB/02/7 (Soufraki)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of June 5, 2007 English Unofficial French translation in 2 La Juris. du CIRDI 395 (2010) (excerpts) Dissenting Opinion by Committee Member Ombur Nabulsi English
			(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
22. <i>Siemens A. G. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/02/8 (Siemens)	Respondent	Full				Discontinued
23. <i>CDC Group plc v. Republic of Seychelles</i> ARB/02/14 (CDC)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of June 29, 2005 English
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Lack of deliberation	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Untimely issuance of award	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
24. <i>Ahmonseto, Inc. and others v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i> ARB/02/15 (Ahmonseto)	Claimant	Partial				Discontinued
25. <i>Sempra Energy International v.</i>	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	Not addressed	Annulled in full

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Argentine Republic</i> ARB/02/16 (<i>Sempra</i>)			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Decision of June 29, 2010 English Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Y	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	Not addressed	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
26. <i>Industria Nacional de Alimentos, S.A. and Indalsa Perú, S.A. (formerly Empresas Lucchetti, S.A. and Lucchetti Perú, S.A.) v. Republic of Peru</i> ARB/03/4 (<i>Lucchetti</i>)	Claimants	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of September 5, 2007 English Spanish Unofficial French translation in 2 La Juris. du CIRDI 407 (2010) (excerpts) Dissenting Opinion of Committee Member Franklin Berman English Spanish
			(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
Claimants	Partial	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
27. <i>M.C.I. Power Group, L.C. and New Turbine, Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador</i> ARB/03/6 (MCI)			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Decision of October 19, 2009 English Spanish
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
28. <i>Continental Casualty Company v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/03/9 (Continental Casualty)	Respondent	Partial	(b)	Contradictory reasons	N	Annulment rejected Decision of September 16, 2011 English Spanish
	Claimant	Partial	(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
29. <i>Joy Mining Machinery Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i> ARB/03/11 (Joy Mining)	Claimant	Full				Discontinued Order of Discontinuance of December 16, 2005 English
30. <i>El Paso Energy International Company v.</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of September 22, 2014
			(b)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Argentine Republic</i> ARB/03/15 <i>(El Paso)</i>			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	English Spanish
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
31. <i>Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A. and Interagua Servicios Integrales de Agua S.A. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/03/17 <i>(Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas)</i>	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected Decision of December 14, 2018 English Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
32. <i>Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A. and Vivendi Universal S.A v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/03/19	Respondent	Full (as well as the decision on jurisdiction and liability)	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected Decision of May 5, 2017 English Spanish
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/right to be heard	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law/misapplication of the proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>(Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas)</i>			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
33. <i>EDF International S.A., SAUR International S.A. and León Participaciones Argentinas S.A. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/03/23 <i>(EDFI v. Argentina)</i>	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected Decision of February 5, 2016 English Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(b)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Treatment of evidence	N	
34. <i>Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines</i> ARB/03/25 <i>(Fraport)</i>	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in full Decision of December 23, 2010 English
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	Y	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
35. <i>Duke Energy International Peru Investments No. 1</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of March 1, 2011
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Ltd. v. Republic of Peru</i> ARB/03/28 (Duke Energy)			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	English
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
36. <i>Total S.A. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/04/1 (Total)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of February 1, 2016 English Spanish
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
37. <i>SAUR International S.A. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/04/4 (SAUR International)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of May 22, 2014 Spanish French
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
38. <i>Compagnie d'Exploitation du Chemin de Fer Transgabonais v. Gabonese Republic</i>	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected Decision of May 11, 2010
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
ARB/04/5 <i>(Transgobonais)</i>			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	26(1) ICSID Rev.— FILJ 214 (2011) (French; excerpts)
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
39. <i>Sociedad Anónima Eduardo Vieira v. Republic of Chile</i> ARB/04/7 <i>(Vieira)</i>	Claimant	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of December 10, 2010 Spanish
			(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
40. <i>Mobil Exploration and Development Argentina Inc. Suc. Argentina and Mobil Argentina S.A. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/04/16 <i>(Mobil Exploration)</i>	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal/lack of impartiality	N	Annulment rejected Decision of May 8, 2019 Spanish
			(b)	Excess of powers	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Denial of right to consider/failure to remove expert witness/failure to allow submission of documents	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
41. <i>Daimler Financial Services v. Argentine Republic</i>	Claimant	Partial	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of January 7, 2015
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/burden of proof	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
ARB/05/01 (Daimler)			(d)	Failure to decide by a majority	N	English Spanish
			(d)	Untimely issuance of Decision on Jurisdiction	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
42. <i>Malaysian Historical Salvors, SDN, BHD v. Malaysia</i> ARB/05/10 (MHS)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	Y	Annulled in full Decision of April 16, 2009 English Dissenting Opinion of Committee Member Mohamed Shahabuddeen English
43. <i>RSM Production Corporation v. Grenada</i> ARB/05/14 (RSM)	Claimant	Full				Discontinued

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
44. <i>Waguih Elie George Siag and Clorinda Vecchi v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i> ARB/05/15 (<i>Siag</i>)	Respondent	Full				Discontinued
45. <i>Rumeli Telekom A.S. and Telsim Mobil Telekomunikasyon Hizmetleri A.S. v. Republic of Kazakhstan</i> ARB/05/16 (<i>Rumeli</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of March 25, 2010 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
46. <i>Ioannis Kardassopoulos v. Georgia</i> ARB/05/18 (<i>Kardassopoulos</i>)	Respondent	Partial				Discontinued
47. <i>Helnan International</i>	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	Y	Annulled in part

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Hotels A/S v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i> ARB/05/19 (<i>Helnan</i>)			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Decision of June 14, 2010 English
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
48. <i>Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula and others v. Romania</i> ARB/05/20 (<i>Micula</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of February 26, 2016 English
			(b)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(d)	Burden of proof	N	
			(e)	Failure to decide	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
49. <i>Quiborax S.A. and Non-Metallic Minerals S.A. v. Plurinational State of Bolivia</i> ARB/06/2 (<i>Quiborax</i>)	Respondent	Full/or Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of May 18, 2018 Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Assessment of damages	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
50. <i>Vestey Group Ltd v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/06/04 (<i>Vestey Group</i>)			(d)	Right to be heard	N	Decision of April 26, 2019 Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
51. <i>Togo Electricité and GDF-Suez Energie Services v. Republic of Togo</i> ARB/06/7 (<i>Togo Electricité</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of September 6, 2011 French
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
52. <i>Libananco Holdings Co. Limited v. Republic of Turkey</i> ARB/06/8 (<i>Libananco</i>)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of May 22, 2013 English (excerpts)
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process/violation of equality of arms	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Untimely issuance of award	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
53. <i>Occidental Petroleum Corp. and Occidental Exploration and Production Co. v. Republic of Ecuador</i> ARB/06/11 (Occidental)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	Y	Annulled in part Decision of November 2, 2015 English Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of reasoning	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
54. <i>Joseph C. Lemire v. Ukraine</i> ARB/06/18 (Lemire)	Respondent	Partial	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of July 8, 2013 English (excerpts)
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
55. <i>Nations Energy Inc. and others v. Republic of Panama</i> ARB/06/19 (Nations)	Claimant	Full				Discontinued
56. <i>RSM Production Corporation v.</i>	Claimant	Full	(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	Annulment rejected

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Central African Republic</i> ARB/07/2 (RSM v. Central African Republic)			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	Decision of February 20, 2013 French (excerpts)
57. <i>Tza Yap Shum v. Republic of Peru</i> ARB/07/6 (Shum)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of February 12, 2015 Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
58. <i>Toto Costruzioni Generali S.p.A. v. Republic of Lebanon</i> ARB/07/12 (Toto)	Claimant	Full				Discontinued

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
59. <i>Ron Fuchs v. Georgia</i> ARB/07/15 (<i>Fuchs</i>)	Respondent	Full				Discontinued
60. <i>Impregilo S.p.A v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/07/17 (<i>Impregilo</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of June 29, 2012 English Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
61. <i>AES Summit Generation Limited and AES-Tisza Erömü Kft. v. Hungary</i> ARB/07/22 (<i>AES Summit</i>)	Claimants	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of June 29, 2012 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
62. <i>Venezuela Holdings B.V. and others v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/07/27 (<i>Venezuela Holdings</i>)	Respondent	Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part Decision of March 9, 2017 English Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Y	
			(d)	Serious departure from fundamental rule of procedure – document production	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
63. <i>SGS Société Générale de Surveillance S.A. v. Republic of Paraguay</i> ARB/07/29 (<i>SGS v. Paraguay</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of May 19, 2014 English
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
64. <i>HOCHTIEF Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/07/31 (<i>HOCHTIEF</i>)	Claimant	Partial				Discontinued

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
65. <i>Astaldi S.p.A. v. Republic of Honduras</i> ARB/07/32 (Astaldi)	Respondent	Not Specified				Discontinued Order of Discontinuance of June 15, 2011 Spanish
66. <i>ATA Construction, Industrial and Trading Company v. Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan</i> ARB/08/2 (ATA)	Respondent	Partial				Discontinued Discontinuance Order of July 11, 2011 English
67. <i>Burlington Resources, Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador</i> ARB/08/6 (Burlington Resources)	Respondent	Not specified				Discontinued
68. <i>Perenco Ecuador Limited v.</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in Part Decision of May 28, 2021
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law/ misapplication of the proper law	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Republic of Ecuador</i> ARB/08/6 (<i>Perenco Ecuador</i>)			(d)	Right to be heard	N	English
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate the reasoning	Y (in regards to damages)	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
69. <i>Caratube International Oil Company v. Republic of Kazakhstan</i> ARB/08/12 (<i>Caratube</i>)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of July 10, 2014 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Burden of proof	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
70. <i>Alapli Elektrik B.V. v. Republic of Turkey</i> ARB/08/13 (<i>Alapli</i>)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of July 10, 2014 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(d)	Failure to decide by a majority	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
71. <i>Malicorp Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>	Claimant	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
ARB/08/18 (Malicorp)			(d)	Right to be heard/Inequality of treatment	N	Decision of July 3, 2013 English French
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
72. <i>Karmer Marble Tourism Construction Industry and Commerce Limited Liability Company v. Georgia</i> ARB/08/19 (Karmer)	Respondent	Partial				Discontinued
73. <i>Teinver S.A., Transportes de Cercanías S.A. and Autobuses Urbanos del Sur S.A. v. Argentine Republic</i> ARB/09/1 (Teinver)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of May 29, 2019 English Spanish
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/burden of proof	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
74. <i>Deutsche Bank AG v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka</i> ARB/09/2 (<i>Deutsche Bank</i>)	Respondent	Not Specified				Discontinued
75. <i>Elsamex, S.A. v. Republic of Honduras</i> ARB/09/4 (<i>Elsamex</i>)	Respondent	Full				Discontinued Discontinuance Order of April 21, 2015 Spanish
76. <i>Iberdrola Energia S.A. v. Republic of Guatemala</i> ARB/09/5 (<i>Iberdrola</i>)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of January 13, 2015 Spanish Dissenting Opinion of Committee Member José Luis Shaw Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>77.KT Asia Investment Group B.V. v. Republic of Kazakhstan</i> ARB/09/8 (<i>KT Asia</i>)	Claimant	Full				Discontinued
<i>78.Adem Dogan v. Turkmenistan</i> ARB/09/9 (<i>Dogan</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of January 15, 2016 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(b)	Burden of proof/treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Burden of proof	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Inequality of treatment	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
79. <i>H&H Enterprises Investments, Inc. v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i> ARB/09/15 (<i>H&H Enterprises</i>)	Claimants	Not Specified				Discontinued
80. <i>Commerce Group Corp. and San Sebastian Gold Mines v. Republic of El Salvador</i> ARB/09/17 (<i>Commerce Group</i>)	Claimants	Full				Discontinued Order of Discontinuance of August 28, 2013 English
81. <i>Carnegie Minerals (Gambia) Limited v. Republic of The Gambia</i> ARB/09/19 (<i>Carnegie Minerals</i>)	Respondents	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected Decision of July 7, 2020 English
82. <i>Kılıç İnşaat İthalat İhracat Sanayi ve Ticaret Anonim</i>	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected
			(b)	Contradictory reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Şirketi v. Turkmenistan</i> ARB/10/1 (Kilç)			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Decision of July 14, 2015 English
			(b)	Burden of Proof/Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Inequality of treatment	N	
			(d)	Burden of Proof/treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Burden of Proof/Treatment of evidence	N	
83. <i>Antoine Abou Lahoud and Leila Bounafeh-Abou Lahoud v. Democratic Republic of the Congo</i> ARB/10/4 (Lahoud)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of March 29, 2016 French
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
84. <i>Tidewater Investment SRL and Tidewater</i>	Respondent	Partial	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulled in part

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Caribe, C.A. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/10/5 (Tidewater)			(d)	Right to be heard	N	Decision of December 27, 2016 English Spanish
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	Y	
85. <i>Standard Chartered Bank v. United Republic of Tanzania</i> ARB/10/12 (SCB)	Claimant	Not specified				Suspended Suspension pursuant to parties' agreement of March 12, 2013
86. <i>Bernhard von Pezold and others v. Republic of Zimbabwe</i> ARB/10/15 (Bernhard von Pezold)	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected Decision of November 21, 2018 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Denial of right to consider, be heard and implement disqualification	N	
			(d)	Failure to disclose	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
87. <i>Renée Rose Levy de Levi v. Republic of Peru</i> ARB/10/17 (Levy de Levi)	Claimant	Partial				Discontinued
88. <i>Niko Resources (Bangladesh) Ltd. v. Bangladesh Oil Gas and Mineral Corporation (Petrobangla), Bangladesh Petroleum Exploration and Production Company Limited (Bapex)</i> ARB/10/18 (Niko Resources)	Respondents	Full/or Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of October 12, 2023 English Dissenting Opinion of Committee Member Makhdoom Ali Khan English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(b)	Excess of powers	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
89. <i>Flughafen Zürich A.G. and Gestión e Ingeniería IDC S.A. v. Bolivarian</i>	Respondent	Full/ or Partial	(b)	Excess of powers	N	Annulment rejected Decision of April 15, 2019
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/10/19 <i>(Flughafen)</i>			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Spanish
			(d)	Failure to address evidence/right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
90. <i>Standard Chartered Bank (Hong Kong) Limited v. Tanzania Electric Supply Company Limited</i> ARB/10/20 <i>(Standard Chartered)</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of August 22, 2018 English
			(b)	Failure to apply the proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard/ equal treatment	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Burden of proof	N	
91. <i>TECO Guatemala Holdings LLC v. Republic of Guatemala</i> ARB/10/23 <i>(TECO I)</i>	Respondents	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part Decision of April 5, 2016 English Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
	Claimant	Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	Not addressed	Annulled in part Decision of April 5, 2016 English
			(d)	Burden of proof/Treatment of evidence	Not addressed	
			(d)	Right to be heard	Y	
			(d)	Inequality of treatment	Not addressed	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to address evidence	Y	
<i>92. TECO Guatemala Holdings LLC v. Republic of Guatemala</i> ARB/10/23 - Resubmission (TECO II)	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal		Discontinued Discontinuance Order of January 24, 2023 English
			(b)	Excess of powers		
			(d)	Failure to disclose		
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons		
<i>93. Border Timbers limited, Timber Products International (Private) Limited, and Hangani Development Co. (Private) Limited</i>	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected Decision of November 21, 2018 English
			(b)	Failure to apply the proper law	N	
			(b)	Excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>v. Republic of Zimbabwe</i> ARB/10/25 (<i>Border Timbers</i>)			(d)	Denial of right to consider, be heard and implement disqualification	N	
			(d)	Failure to disclose	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
94. <i>Highbury International AVV and Ramstein Trading Inc. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/11/1 (<i>Highbury International</i>)	Claimants	Full	(b)	Failure to apply the proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of September 9, 2019 Spanish (excerpts)
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(d)	Denial of justice/lack of impartiality/right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
95. <i>Longreef Investments A.V.V. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/11/5 (<i>Longreef Investments</i>)	Respondent					Concluded Decision of July 27, 2022 (Decision not public)

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
96. <i>Rafat Ali Rizvi v. Republic of Indonesia</i> ARB/11/13 (Rizvi)	Claimant	Full				Discontinued
97. <i>Koch Minerals Sàrl and Koch Nitrogen International Sàrl v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/11/19 (Koch Minerals)	Respondent					Concluded Decision of May 24, 2022 (Decision not public)
98. <i>Mamidoil Jetoil Greek Petroleum Products Societe Anonyme S.A. v. Republic of Albania</i> ARB/11/24 (Mamidoil Jetoil)	Claimant	Not Specified				Discontinued
99. <i>OI European Group B.V. v.</i>	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/11/25 (OI European)			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Decision of December 6, 2018 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
100. <i>Tenaris S.A. and Tal-a - Trading e Marketing Sociedade Unipessoal Lda. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/11/26 (<i>Tenaris v. Venezuela</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of August 8, 2018 English Spanish
			(d)	Burden of proof/ treatment of evidence	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard/ equal treatment	N	
101. <i>Tulip Real Estate and Development Netherlands B.V. v. Republic of Turkey</i> ARB/11/28	Claimants	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of December 30, 2015 English
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Inequality of treatment	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>(Tulip)</i>			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
102. <i>Gambrinus, Corp. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/11/31 <i>(Gambrinus)</i>	Claimant	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of October 3, 2017 English
			(d)	Introduction of a new issue	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
103. <i>Tethyan Copper Company Pty Limited v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan</i> ARB/12/1 <i>(Tethyan Copper)</i>	Respondent	Full				Discontinued
104. <i>Dan Cake (Portugal) S.A. v. Hungary</i> ARB/12/9 <i>(Dan Cake)</i>	Respondent					Concluded Decision of July 16, 2021 (Decision not public)

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
105. <i>RSM Production Corporation v. Saint Lucia</i> ARB/12/10 (<i>RSM Production</i>)	Claimant	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulled in part Decision of April 29, 2019 English
			(b)	Excess of powers by ordering security for costs	N	
			(b)	Excess of powers by dismissing the case with prejudice	Y	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
106. <i>Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Europe v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/12/13 (<i>Saint-Gobain</i>)	Respondent	Full				Discontinued
107. <i>Churchill Mining Plc and Planet Mining Pty Ltd, (formerly ARB/12/40) v. Republic of Indonesia</i> ARB/12/14 & ARB/12/40	Claimant	Full	(d)	Right to be heard/ equal treatment	N	Annulment rejected Decision of March 18, 2019 English
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/ burden of proof	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>(Churchill Mining)</i>			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
108. <i>Churchill Mining Plc and Planet Mining Pty Ltd, (formerly ARB/12/40) v. Republic of Indonesia</i> ARB/12/40 & ARB/12/14 <i>(Churchill Mining)</i>	Claimant	Full	(d)	Right to be heard/equal treatment	N	Annulment rejected Decision of March 18, 2019 English
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/burden of proof	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
109. <i>Blue Bank International & Trust (Barbados) Ltd. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/12/20 <i>(Blue Book International)</i>	Claimant	Partial	(b)	Excess of powers	N	Annulment rejected Decision of June 22, 2020 Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law/misapplication of the proper law	N	
			(d)	Cited case not invoked by parties/ treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
110. <i>Fábrica de Vidrios Los Andes, C.A. and Owens-Illinois de Venezuela, C.A. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/12/21 (<i>Fábrica de Vidrios Los Andes</i>)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of November 22, 2019 English Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
111. <i>Venoklim Holding B.V. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/12/22 (<i>Venoklim</i>)	Claimant	Full/or Partial	(b)	Failure to apply proper law/ misapplication of the proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of February 2, 2018 Spanish
			(b)	Excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality/right to be heard/ treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
112. <i>Tenaris S.A. and Talta - Trading e Marketing Sociedade Unipessoal Lda. v. Bolivarian</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of December 28, 2018 Spanish
			(d)	Burden of proof/due process	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/12/23 <i>(Tenaris v. Venezuela II)</i>			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard/equal treatment	N	
113. <i>UAB E Energija (Lithuania) v. Republic of Latvia</i> ARB/12/33 <i>(UAB E Energija)</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Concluded (Decision not public)
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
114. <i>Orascom TMT Investments S.à r.l. v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria</i> ARB/12/35 <i>(Orascom)</i>	Claimant	Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of September 17, 2020 English French
			(d) and (e)	Abuse of rights	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard/equal treatment	N	
115. <i>Karkey Karadeniz Elektrik Uretim</i>	Respondent	Not Specified				Discontinued

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>A.S. v. Isalmic Republic of Pakistan</i> ARB/13/1 (Karkey)						
116. <i>Poštová banka, a.s. and ISTROKAPITAL SE v. Hellenic Republic</i> ARB/13/8 (<i>Poštová banka</i>)	Claimants	Partial	(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	Annulment rejected Decision of September 29, 2016 English
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
117. <i>Valores Mundiales, S.L. and Consorcio Andino, S.L. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> ARB/13/11 (<i>Valores Mundiales</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Excess of powers	N	Annulment rejected Decision of December 21, 2021 English
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(d)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
118. <i>Caratube International Oil Company LLP and Devincci Salah Hourani v. Republic of Kazakhstan</i> ARB/13/13 (<i>Caratube</i>)	Respondent	Full				Discontinued
119. <i>Güneş Tekstil Konfeksiyon Sanayi ve Ticaret Limited Şirketi and others v. Republic of Uzbekistan</i> ARB/13/19 (<i>Güneş Tekstil</i>)	Respondent	Not Specified				Discontinued
120. <i>Edenred S.A. v. Hungary</i> ARB/13/21 (<i>Edenred</i>)	Respondent					Concluded Decision of March 9, 2020 (Decision not public)

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
121. <i>Fouad Alghanim & Sons Co. for General Trading & Contracting, W.L.L. and Fouad Mohammed Thunyan Alghanim v. Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan</i> ARB/13/28 (<i>Fouad Alghanim</i>)	Claimants					Concluded Decision of April 20, 2020 (Decision not public)
122. <i>Cementos La Union S.A. and Aridos Jativa S.L.U v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i> ARB/13/29 (<i>Cementos La Union</i>)	Claimants	Partial	(b)	Failure apply/misapplication of the proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of July 31, 2023 English (excerpts)
			(d)	Failure to admit new evidence	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence on the record	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
123. <i>RREEF Infrastructure (G.P.) Limited and RREEF Pan-</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of June 10, 2022

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>European Infrastructure Two Lux S.à r.l. v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/13/30 (RREEF Infrastructure)			(b)	Failure to apply proper law/ misapplication of the proper law	N	English
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard/due process	N	
124. <i>Infrastructure Services Luxembourg S.à.r.l. and Energia Termosolar B.V. (formerly Antin Infrastructure Services Luxembourg S.à.r.l. and Antin Energia Termosolar B.V.) v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/13/31 (Infrastructure Services)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of July 30, 2021 English
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/right of defense	N	
			(d)	Failure to grant unconditional leave to <i>amicus curiae</i> participation	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
125. <i>UP and C.D Holding</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Internationale v. Hungary</i> ARB/13/35 (UP and C.D Holding)			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	Decision of August 11, 2021 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(d)	Introduction of new legal authorities/equal treatment	N	
126. <i>Eiser Infrastructure Limited and Energía Solar Luxembourg S.à r.l. v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/13/36 (Eiser)	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal/lack of impartiality and independence	Y	Annulled in full Decision of June 11, 2020 English Spanish
			(d)	Right to be heard/equal treatment	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/determination of damages	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	Y	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
127. <i>Masdar Solar & Wind Cooperatief U.A. v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/14/1 (<i>Masdar</i>)	Respondent	Full				Discontinued
128. <i>Blusun S.A., Jean-Pierre Lecorcier and Michael Stein v. Italian Republic</i> ARB/14/3 (<i>Blusun</i>)	Claimants	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/failure to exercise jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of April 13, 2020 English
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
129. <i>Unión Fenosa Gas, S.A. v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i> ARB/14/4 (<i>Union Fenosa</i>)	Respondent					Discontinued
130. <i>CEAC Holdings Limited v. Montenegro</i> ARB/14/8 (<i>CEAC Holdings</i>)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected Decision of May 1, 2018 English
			(b)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
131. <i>NextEra Energy Global Holdings B.V. and NextEra Energy Spain Holdings B.V. v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/14/11 (<i>NextEra Energy</i>)	Respondent	Full/or Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/excess of power	N	Annulment rejected Decision of March 17, 2022 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law/ misapplication of the proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard/equal treatment	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/burden of proof	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
132. <i>InfraRed Environmental Infrastructure GP Limited and others v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/14/12 (<i>InfraRed Environment</i>)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/excess of power	N	Annulment rejected Decision of June 10, 2022 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law/ misapplication of the proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard/equality of arms	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/burden of proof	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
133. <i>EuroGas Inc. and Belmont Resources Inc. v. Slovak Republic</i> ARB/14/14	Claimant	Partial				Discontinued

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>(Eurogas)</i>						
134. <i>Cyprus Popular Bank Public Co. Ltd. v. Hellenic Republic</i> ARB/14/16 <i>(Cyprus)</i>	Respondent	Full/ or Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/excess of power	N	Annulment rejected Decision of November 30, 2022 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law/ misapplication of the proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons/ adjudication of damages	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/ burden of proof	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
135. <i>Sodexo Pass International SAS v. Hungary</i> ARB/14/20 <i>(Sodexo)</i>	Respondent	Not specified				Annulment rejected Decision of May 7, 2021 English (excerpts)
136. <i>BSG Resources Limited (in administration), BSG Resources (Guinea) Limited and BSG Resources (Guinea) SARL v. Republic of Guinea</i>	Claimants	Full				Discontinued

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
ARB/14/22 <i>(BSG Resources Limited)</i>						
137. <i>Albaniabeg Ambient Sh.p.k, M. Angelo Novelli and Costruzioni S.r.l. v. Republic of Albania</i> ARB/14/26 <i>(Albaniabeg)</i>	Claimants	Partial				Concluded (Decision not public)
138. <i>Alpiq AG v. Romania</i> ARB/14/28 <i>(Alpiq)</i>	Claimant	Partial				Concluded (Decision not public)
139. <i>Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula and others v. Romania</i> ARB/14/29 <i>(Ioan Micula)</i>	Claimant	Partial				Concluded (Decision not public)
140. <i>Baymina Enerji Anonim Şirketi v.</i>	Respondent					Discontinued

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Boru Hatları ile Petrol Taşıma Anonim Şirketi</i> ARB/14/35 (<i>Baymina</i>)						
141. <i>Stadtwerke München GmbH, RWE Innogy GmbH, and others v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/15/1 (<i>Stadtwerke</i>)	Claimants					Discontinued
142. <i>WalAm Energy LLC v. Republic of Kenya</i> ARB/15/7 (<i>WalAm Energy</i>)	Claimant					Discontinued
143. <i>Aktau Petrol Ticakret A.S. v. Republic of Kazakhstan</i> ARB/18/8	Respondent	Not specified				Concluded (Decision not public)

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>(Aktau Petrol)</i>						
144. <i>9REN Holding S.a.r.l v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/15/15 <i>(9REN Holding)</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/excess of power	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of November 17, 2022 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
145. <i>BayWa r.e. AG v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/15/16 <i>(BayWa)</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/excess of power	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of May 8, 2023 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard/equal treatment	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
146. <i>Capital Financial Holdings Luxembourg S.A. v. Republic of Cameroon</i> ARB/15/18	Claimant	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of October 25, 2019 French
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>(Capital Financial Holdings)</i>						
147. <i>Cube Infrastructure Fund SICAV and others v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/15/20 <i>(Capital Financial Holdings)</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/excess of power	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of March 28, 2022 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/burden of proof	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard/equal treatment	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
148. <i>Hydro S.r.l. and others v. Republic of Albania</i> ARB/15/28 <i>(Hydro S.r.l.)</i>	Respondent	Full	(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of April 2, 2021 English
149. <i>Cortec Mining Kenya Limited, Cortec (Pty) Limited and</i>	Claimants	Partial	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of March 19, 2021
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>Stirling Capital Limited v. Republic of Kenya</i> ARB/15/29 (Cortec Mining)			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	English
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
150. <i>OperaFund Eco-Invest SICAV PLC and Schwab Holding AG v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/15/36 (OperaFund)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of March 2, 2023 English
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/excess of power	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard/equal treatment	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/burden of proof	N	
			(d)	Assessment of damages	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
151. <i>SolEs Badajoz GmbH v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/15/38	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/excess of power	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of March 16, 2022 English
			(d)	Right to be heard/equal treatment	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>(SolEs Badajoz)</i>			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
152. <i>Hydro Energy 1 S.à r.l. and Hydroxana Sweden AB v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/15/42 <i>(Hydro Energy 1)</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of March 20, 2023 English
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
153. <i>Watkins Holdings S.à r.l. and others v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/15/44 <i>(Watkins Holdings)</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/excess of power	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of February 21, 2023 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/burden of proof	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
154. <i>ESPF Beteiligungs GmbH, ESPF Nr. 2 Austria Beteiligungs GmbH, and InfraClass Energie</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/excess of power	N	Annulment rejected Decision of July 31, 2023 English
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
<i>5 GmbH & Co. KG v. Italian Republic</i> ARB/16/5 (ESPF)						
155. <i>Glencore International A.G. and C.I. Prodeco S.A. v. Republic of Colombia</i> ARB/16/6 (Glencore International)	Respondent	Full/ or Partial	(d)	Treatment of evidence/ burden of proof	N	Annulment Rejected Decision of September 22, 2021 English Spanish
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(d)	Illegality of the submitted documents	N	
156. <i>Italba Corporation v. Oriental Republic of Uruguay</i> ARB/16/9 (Italba)	Claimant	Not Specified				Discontinued

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
157. <i>Alhambra Resources Ltd. and Alhambra Coöperatief U.A. v. Republic of Kazakhstan</i> ARB/16/12 (<i>Alhambra Resources</i>)	Claimant and Respondent	Partial				Concluded (Decision not public)
158. <i>Global Telecom Holding S.A.E. v. Canada</i> ARB/16/16 (<i>Global Telecom</i>)	Both	Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of September 30, 2022 English
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Introduction of a new issue	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
159. <i>Sun-Flower Olmeda GmbH & Co KG and others v. Kingdom of Spain</i> ARB/16/17 (<i>Sun-Flower</i>)	Respondent					Concluded (Decision not public)

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
160. <i>Raymond Charles Eyre and Montrose Developments (Private) Limited v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka</i> ARB/16/25 (Raymond Charles)	Claimants	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction/non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected Decision of December 2, 2020 English (excerpts)
161. <i>Mera Investment Fund Limited v. Republic of Serbia</i> ARB/17/2 (Mera Investment)	Respondent					Concluded (Decision not public)
162. <i>(DS)2, S.A., Peter de Sutter and Kristof De Sutter v. Republic of Madagascar</i>	Respondent	Full	(d)	Right to be heard/effective interpretation	N	Annulment rejected Decision of October 14, 2022
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/burden of proof	N	

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
ARB/17/18 <i>((DS)2)</i>			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	English French Dissenting opinion of Committee Member Gabriel Bottini English French
163. <i>Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre Kft and Inicia Zrt v. Hungary</i> ARB/17/27 <i>(Magyar Farming)</i>	Respondent					Concluded (Decision not public)

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
164. <i>EcoDevelopment in Europe AB and EcoEnergy Africa AB v. United Republic of Tanzania</i> ARB/17/33 (<i>EcoDevelopment</i>)	Respondent	Not Specified				Discontinued
165. <i>Edmond Khudyan and Arin Capital & Investment Corp. v. Republic of Armenia</i> ARB/17/36 (<i>Edmond Khudyan</i>)	Claimants	Partial				Concluded (Decision not public)
166. <i>The Lopez-Goyne Family Trust and others v. Republic of Nicaragua</i> ARB/17/44 (<i>Lopez-Goyne</i>)	Claimants	Not specified				Discontinued

Annulment Grounds in Concluded Proceedings

Case (Short Title)	Applicant	Request for Full or Partial Annulment	Ground Invoked: Article 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Ground Invoked: Description	Upheld	Outcome
167. <i>Almasryia for Operating & Maintaining Touristic Construction Co. L.L.C. v. State of Kuwait</i> ARB/18/2 (<i>Almasryia</i>)	Claimants					Concluded (Decision not public)
168. <i>BRIF TRES d.o.o. Beograd and BRIF-TC d.o.o. Beograd v. Republic of Serbia</i> ARB/20/12 (<i>BRIF TRES</i>)	Claimants	Not specified				Discontinued